

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/522,053	03/09/2000	Scott A Rosenberg	INTL-0320-US-(P8003)	4245	
7590 03/24/2004			EXAMINER		
Timothy N Trop Trop Pruner & Hu PC 8554 Katy Freeway Ste 100			VU, NGOC K		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Houston, TX 77024			2611		
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/522,053	03/09/2000	Scott A Rosenberg	INTL-0320-US-(P8003) 4245	
7	590 06/20/2003			
Timothy N Trop Trop Pruner & Hu PC 8554 Katy Freeway Ste 100			EXAMINER	
			VU, NGOC K	
Houston, TX	77024	ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
			2611	11
•			DATE MAILED: 06/20/2003	14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extension for memyly be similarly with the provision of 37 CPR 1.75(b). In no event, however, may a reply be timely field Extension for reply specified above is less than thinty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will day by an will a legis (5) (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period of reply a specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply an will a legis (5) (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than thinty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered timely. Any reply received by the Office later than thinty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the scenario of the scenari	·		,)					
Examiner Ngoc K. Vu 2811 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If the period renty specified above is less than this (2) days, as early whith the standard preliment of thing (30) days will be considered timely. If the period renty specified above is less than this (2) days, as early whith the standard preliment of thing (30) days will be considered timely. If the period renty specified above is less than this (2) days, as early whith the standard preliment of thing (30) days will be considered timely. If the period renty specified above is less than this (2) days, as early whith the standard preliment of this communication. Fallula to reply whith the salt or standard are determined the rentility of the above calcing (5) and the area of the		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALIKNO DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Editension of time may be available under the provisions at 3°C FR 1.59(a). The event, however, may a reply be limely find after 18th (S) MONTH See mite maning date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is last that thirty (50) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thiny (50) days will be considered stimely. If the period for reply specified above is last that thirty (50) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thiny (50) days will be considered stimely. If the period for reply specified above is last that thirty (50) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thiny (50) days will be considered stimely. If the period for reply specified above is last that thirty (50) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thiny (50) days will be considered stimely. If the period for reply specified above is last than the provision of the special considered stimely. If the period for reply specified above is last than the statutory will be seen a second period of the communication. If the period for reply specified above is last than the provision of the special considered stimely. If the period of the special considered statutor is a special considered stimely. If the period for reply specified above is last than the period of the special considered stimely. If the second is a considered statutor is a special considered stimely. If the second is a considered statutor is a special considered to the second statutor is a considered statutor. Application of Claims If the above claim(s)	Office Action Comments	09/522,053	ROSENBERG ET AL.					
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Excellention of time may be available under the providers of 3°C/R1.130(a). In an event, however, may a reply be limely filed Excellention of many by a specified above is liste than file (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thiny (30) days will be considered into a communication of the provider of many is apported above, the meanima statutory period will apply avail expire 38(10) MONTHS from the maling date of this communication. If the period for many separation of many is apply and will be provided by the ORTH STATE of the period for many is apply and will be provided by the ORTH STATE. If the period for many is applicated to the fire many into a period provided by the ORTH STATE. Any reply received by the Orthe silent than free many the provided and the period of the period of the communication, exact it many filed in a communication. Any reply received by the Orthe silent than free many than the provided of the communication of the period of the period of the communication. Any reply received by the Orthe silent than free many than the provided of the communication. Any reply received by the Orthe silent than free many than the period of the period of the communication. Status This action is FINAL. 2 b) This action is final. 2 c) This action is final. 2 c) This action is final. 2 c) This action is final than fire the many than the period of the period to period the communication. 4 c) This action is final than the period of the provided of the provided of the period than the period than the period than the many final than the period t	Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Elements of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.18(s). In no eveal, however, may a regly be limely fined into many be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.18(s). In no eveal, however, may a regly be limely fined into many be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.18(s). In no eveal, however, may a regly be limely fined into many be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.18(s). - If the period regly septical about under the provisions of 15 CFR 1.18(s). - If the period regly sellicit his case is set than three meries after the manifest date of this communication. - Fallules to regly within the set or celeraded paried for regly will, by statuts, cause the application to become ABANDONED (53 U.S.C. § 133). - Arry reply received by the Office liber than three meries have the manifest and the manifest provision of the provision of th	The MAILING DATE of this course is di		1					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edentions of time may be without endors the provision of 3 CFR 1.13(e). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MCMTISS from the maining date of this communication. It NO period to reply is specified above. The maximum abstractly partial within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days with be considered timely, the provision of	Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 11 and 13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are epiding in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of References Clied (PTO-882) 5 Notice of Poffsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 Notice of Poffsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 Notice of Poffsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 11 and 13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11 The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6 Other:	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 A	<u> April 2003</u> .						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 11 and 13 is/are pending in the application.	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.						
4) Claim(s) 11 and 13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(e) Notice of References Cited (PTO-982) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3 □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters, p Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filled on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1 Molüce of References Cited (PTO-892) 2 Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	· _	! !	•					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
6) Claim(s) 11-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Aktachment(s) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Objective of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		vn from consideration.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Aktachment(s) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Objective of Dratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)								
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u>-</u>							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u> </u>	r election requirement						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) All Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disdosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Application Papers	election requirement.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5) Other:	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) ☐ Other:	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) ☐ Other:	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	bly to this Office action.						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) All Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) ☐ Other:	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	n)-(d) or (f).					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	application from the International But	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Attachment(s)							
	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I						

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 4/10/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argued that the in the Eyer reference, encoder 100 does not packetize multiple heterogeneous video streams for transmission thereof in respective native information formats and associated native frame rates. This argument is not persuasive based on the following reasons.

According to the application specification, heterogeneous video is video from disparate sources which is intended to be displayed on a video display device. The video may be graphics or streaming video normally associated with television programming (see page 1, lines 5-8).

The application specification also describes that the arbitration and packetization unit 30 may simultaneously drive independent video sources at their natural rates onto different portions of the display screen of a display device 14. For example, the video information may be displayed at a native frame rate 60Hz while the graphical information may be updated at 25 Hz (see page 5, lines 6-12).

With respect to claims 11-13, Eyer clearly shows that the video/audio data is from video/audio source while the IPG data is from IPG data server (see figure 2). That is two video data are from different sources. It is noted that the IPG is graphical information such as interactive program guide including program title, program description and scheduling information (see col. 1, lines 8-9 and col. 5, lines 54-61).

Art Unit: 2611

Eyer also shows in figure 2 that the encoder 100 includes each MPEG-2 encoder independently packetizes video/audio and IPG data streams. Furthermore, Eyer discloses that the IPG data which passes through the filter 335 is processed at the IPG data processing function 180 at the incoming IPG data rate, e.g., typically on the order of 20-200kbps (see col. 9, lines 45-48). The IPGT provides a continuous flow of IPG data at typically 20-200kps to a plurality of encoders (see column 8, lines 12-14). It can be understood that, the IPG data is packetized and de-packetized at the same rate 20-200kps.

It is noted that there is no specific definition for the term "natural frame rates" in the specification. Instead, the term "natural frame rates" is read as a particular rate with respect to the example of the specification above.

Thus, the encoder 100 independently packetized (via MPEG-2 encoder) the IPG data and video data for transmission thereof in respective native information formats (e.g., video information and graphical information) and associated native frame rates (e.g., 20-200kps for IPG data).

For supporting the inherency, The Examiner cites two references Williams et al (US 6,188,436 B1) and Linzer et al (US 6,108,039) showing a typical encoder comprises a processor, a memory coupled to the processor, and a video controller coupled to the processor. Williams shows video encoder 56 including memory 58 coupled to a processor 70, and a controller 60, 74 coupled to the processor 70 (see figure 4). Linzer shows a processor 22 coupled to a memory 28, and a controller 30 coupled to the processor 22 (see figure 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 2611

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 13, it is noted that the term "the original frame rate" is not previously defined. It is not clear what the "the original frame rate" referred to.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Eyer et al (US 6,160,545 A).

Regarding claim 11, Eyer discloses a system (100) comprising a packetization device (MPEG-2 encoder) independently packetize at least two heterogeneous video streams (video and IPG are packetized by the MPEG-2 encoder) for transmission thereof in respective native information formats and associated native frame rates (video and IPG data are from the separated sources. The MPEG-2 encoder packetizes independently video and IPG data at their native rates, particularly, IPG data flow at 20-220kbps to a MPEG-2 encoder) (see col. 8, lines

Art Unit: 2611

35-45, 12-17 and figures 1-3). It is noted that the encoder inherently comprises a processor, a memory coupled to the processor and a video controller coupled to the processor.

Regarding claim 12, Eyer discloses the system including a modulation device (mux/mod 250) to modulate and transport the independently packetized streams (see figure 2 and col. 24-27).

Regarding claim 13, Eyer discloses that each of the video streams has a different frame rate (for instance, IPG data flow at 20-220kbps to a MPEG-2 encoder) and is packetized to be de-packetized at the original frame rate (via display generator 190) in a display device (195) (see col. 8, lines 35-42 and figure 3) (For example, the IPG data is packetized and depacketized at the same rate 20-200kps).

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2611

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ngoc K. Vu whose telephone number is 703-306-5976. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Faile can be reached on 703-305-4380. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

NV June 15, 2003

ANDREW FAILE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600